# Trends in socio-economic and racial disparity in birth outcomes in South Carolina: **Evidence from Pregnancy Risk Assessment and Monitoring System (PRAMS) data**

Mohammad Rifat Haider, Khairul Alam Siddiqi, Ibrahim Demir, M. Mahmud Khan Health Services Policy and Management, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina

### Introduction

- Infant born with low-birth-weight (less than 2,500 severe experience health grams) and developmental difficulties which account for big portion of the increased morbidity, mortality, and health care costs in the United States.(1).
- Low birth weight has two main causes, premature births, which do not allow the infant time to grow sufficiently prior to birth and fetal growth restrictions that cause a fetus to gain insufficient weight during pregnancy.
- Low birth weight is the second leading cause of infant mortality after birth defects in the United States, and surviving infants are at elevated risk for debilitating medical conditions and learning disorders (2).
- Preterm births are the largest cause of infant death.
- In 2012, 13.6% of all births in South Carolina were premature (3).

### Objectives

- To assess the level of premature birth and low birth weight babies born in South Carolina in 2004 and 2013.
- examine the racial and income related • To disparities in premature birth and low birth weight in South Carolina in 2004 and 2013.
- To find out the factors affecting premature birth and low birth weight in South Carolina in those two years.

### Methods

- The study uses the 2004 and 2013 waves of South Carolina Pregnancy Risk Assessment and Monitoring System (PRAMS) data.
- Number of births recorded in PRAMS 2004 was 1,31 and in PRAMS 2013 the number was 949.
- Income related disparity was calculated using Concentration Index.
- Racial disparity was shown by descriptive statistics.
- Survey weighted logistic regression models for two waves were fitted to identify the determinants of premature birth and low birth weight.
- All analyses were performed using STATA 14.2.

Results



Table1. Concentration Indices for Premature Birth and Low Birth Weight in South Carolina in 2004 and 2013 (by income category)

Indicators	PRAMS 2004			PRAMS 2013			P-value	
	CI	LL	UL	CI	LL	UL		% Change
Premature Birth	-0.038	-0.098	0.023	-0.015	-0.192	0.163	0	-1.90
Low Birth Weight	-0.063	-0.216	0.089	-0.108	-0.118	-0.097	0	2.70
Note: CI: Concentration Index: LL: Lower Limit: UL: Upper Limit								

Associated with Premature Birth and Low Birth Weight in South Carolina in 2004 and 2013

Variables		Low Birth Weight							
	2004 2013			2013	2004 2013				
	Wt% (95% Cl)	OR (95% CI)	Wt% (95% Cl)	OR (95% CI)	Wt% (95% Cl)	OR (95% CI)	Wt% (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	
	(N=1,622)	(N=1,481)	(N=947)	(N=847)	(N= 1,627)	(N=1,487)	(N=943)	(N=706)	
Premature Birth									
No	0.89 (0.87-0.90)	-	0.90 (0.88-0.93)	-	-	-	-	-	
Yes	0.11 (0.10-0.13)	-	0.10 (0.07-0.12)	-	-	-	-	-	
Low Birth Weight									
No	-	-	-	-	0.91 (0.91-0.91)	-	0.91 (0.91-0.92)	-	
Yes	-	-	-	-	0.09 (0.09-0.09)	-	0.09 (0.08-0.09)	-	
Maternal Age									
<20 Years	17.3 (11.0-26.0)	Ref.	17.7 (7.0-37.9)	Ref.	12.9 (9.7-16.9)	Ref.	7.6 (4.2-13.5)	Ref.	
21-30 Years	10.3 (8.1-12.9)	0.59 (0.29-1.22)	8.3 (6.0-11.2)	0.58 (0.15-2.29)	9.6 (8.6-10.6)	0.92 (0.55-1.52)	8.9 (7.6-10.3)	1.60 (0.62-4.10)	
30-40 Years	10.7 (7.6-14.7)	0.60 (0.27-1.35)	8.2 (5.5-12.0)	0.63 (0.16-2.52)	7.2 (6.1-8.5)	0.66 (0.37-1.17)	8.3 (6.7-10.2)	2.44 (0.91-6.57)	
40 Years and above	7.9 (4.1-14.7)	0.55 (0.18-1.72)	26.7 (7.5-62.7)	2.24 (0.43-11.8)	9.7 (5.3-17.1)	1.31 (0.52-3.30)	12.0 (5.2-25.6)	1.67 (0.39-7.16)	
Maternal Education									
<high school<="" td=""><td>12.8 (8.7-18.4)</td><td>Ref.</td><td>12.0 (6.7-20.6)</td><td>Ref.</td><td>10.9 (8.8-13.5)</td><td>Ref.</td><td>11.1 (7.7-15.8)</td><td>Ref.</td></high>	12.8 (8.7-18.4)	Ref.	12.0 (6.7-20.6)	Ref.	10.9 (8.8-13.5)	Ref.	11.1 (7.7-15.8)	Ref.	
High School	11.7 (8.3-16.1)	1.16 (0.61-2.25)	14.4 (8.3-23.7)	0.79 (0.22-2.86)	10.8 (8.9-12.9)	1.02 (0.64-1.64)	8.8 (6.5-11.8)	0.48 (0.22-1.02)	
Some College	10.1 (7.2-13.8)	1.21 (0.62-2.37)	7.9 (5.1-12.0)	0.59 (0.19-1.80)	7.6 (6.4-8.9)	0.90 (0.55-1.48)	8.8 (7.0-11.1)	0.40 (0.19-0.85)*	
Bachelor and Higher	10.7 (7.4-15.4)	1.50 (0.71-3.17)	6.2 (4.3-9.0)	0.45 (0.11-1.85)	8.2 (6.6-10.0)	1.26 (0.73-2.18)	6.9 (5.4-8.8)	0.32 (0.14-0.73)**	
Race		<b>.</b>							
White	9.3 (7.2-11.8)	Ref.	7.4 (5.4-10.0)	Ref.	6.9 (6.2-7.6)	Ref.	7.0 (6.2-7.9)	Ref.	
African American	14.8 (11.4-19.0)	1.70 (1.00-2.92)*	14.3 (9.2-21.5)	1.88 (1.00-3.52)*	14.6 (12.5-17.0)	2.25 (1.63-3.10)***	13.7 (10.5-17.6)	2.48 (1.43-4.32)**	
Other	12.3 (6.1-23.4)	1.66 (0.55-5.05)	8.8 (3.3-21.5)	0.16 (0.05-0.52)**	6.0 (4.0-9.1)	0.67 (0.26-1.72)	4.6 (2.7-7.6)	0.35 (0.11-1.06)	
Ethnicity			/				<b>/</b> /		
Non-Hispanic	11.5 (9.6-13.6)	Ref.	9.1 (7.0-11.6)	Ref.	9.5 (9.2-9.9)	Ref.	9.0 (8.5-9.7)	Ref.	
Hispanic	8.9 (4.0-18.3)	1.43 (0.35-5.84)	15.0 (6.1-32.6)	3.31 (0.66-16.48)	5.8 (3.6-9.3)	1.77 (0.63-4.95)	4.4 (2.4-8.0)	6.00 (1.42-25.32)*	
Residence			····						
Urban	11.0 (9.0-13.3)	Ref.	9.2 (7.1-11.9)	Ref.	8.9 (8.4-9.4)	Ref.	8.6 (8.0-9.3)	Ref.	
Rural	12.2 (8.4-17.3)	0.98 (0.55-1.74)	11.5 (5.6-21.9)	1.01 (0.40-2.58)	10.7 (8.7-13.2)	1.02 (0.69-1.49)	8.8 (6.0-12.9)	0.75 (0.37-1.53)	
HH Income									
0-15K	11.4 (8.9-14.5)	Ref.	9.6 (7.0-13.2)	Ref.	10.5 (9.4-11.8)	Ref.	11.0 (9.3-13.0)	Ref.	
15-25K	10.8 (8.2-14.0)	0.90 (0.56-1.44)	8.1 (5.1-12.6)	0.71 (0.37-1.36)	7.6 (6.7-8.6)	0.65 (0.47-0.90)**	7.4 (6.0-9.2)	0.47 (0.28-0.77)**	
25-40K	6.7 (3.8-11.5)	0.59 (0.27-1.32)	10.3 (3.2-28.6)	0.91 (0.27-3.14)	9.4 (5.6-15.3)	0.92 (0.45-1.89)	5.3 (2.9-9.4)	0.18 (0.05-0.66)*	
>40K	10.0 (3.9-23.1)	0.56 (0.16-2.00)	11.7 (4.1-28.6)	0.83 (0.23-3.04)	14.7 (6.2-31.1)	0.97 (0.50-0.98)	15.4 (5.8-35.1)	1.64 (0.52-5.21)	
Child Sex								/	
Female	10.6 (8.2-13.5)	Ref.	12.7 (9.0-17.7)	Ref.	9.6 (8.5-10.7)	Ref.	9.6 (8.2-11.1)	Ref.	
Male	11.9 (9.3-14.9)	1.06 (0.67-1.68)	6.5 (4.7-8.8)	0.70 (0.36-1.32)	9.0 (8.0-10.0)	0.97 (0.72-1.32)	7.7 (6.5-9.2)	0.92 (0.57-1.47)	
Maternal BMI									
Underweight	16.5 (8.3-30.3)	Ref.	12.8 (6.0-25.3)	Ref.	16.1 (10.6-23.6)	Ref.	21.1 (10.5-37.8)	Ref.	
Normal	11.2 (8.6-14.4)	0.54 (0.23-1.24)	8.3 (5.5-12.3)	0.61 (0.18-2.01)	8.5 (7.6-9.6)	0.39 (0.21-0.73)**	7.1 (5.9-8.5)	0.32 (0.11-0.96)*	
Overweight	11.9 (7.9-17.3)	0.49 (0.19-1.22)	9.3 (5.8-14.6)	0.67 (0.21-2.16)	7.7 (6.2-9.5)	0.35 (0.18-0.69)**	8.5 (6.4-11.2)	0.33 (0.10-1.01)	
Obese	9.6 (7.1-12.8)	0.48 (0.21-1.12)	9.3 (5.6-15.3)	0.53 (0.17-1.67)	10.8 (8.7-13.2)	0.50 (0.25-0.98)*	10.7 (8.1-14.1)	0.30 (0.11-0.94)*	
Diabetes									
No	11.4 (9.6-13.5)	Ref.	8.9 (6.9-11.3)	Ref.	9.3 (9.0-9.6)	Ref.	8.6 (8.0-9.2)	Ref.	
Yes	9.1 (4.2-18.4)	0.77 (0.27-2.16)	18.9 (7.6-39.7)	3.33 (1.39-7.99)**	8.2 (5.1-13.0)	0.80 (0.37-1.70)	9.7 (5.4-16.8)	2.02 (0.89-4.60)	
Hypertension			· · ·						
No	10.4 (8.6-12.6)	Ref.	8.7 (6.6-11.5)	Ref.	8.5 (8.2-8.9)	Ref.	7.3 (6.8-7.9)	Ref.	
Yes	19.8 (13.5-28.0)	2.95 (1.03-8.42)***	29.9 (18.1-45.3)	6.04 (2.67-13.70)***	16.7 (12.4-22.1)	2.05 (1.30-3.25)**	40.3 (24.3-58.7)	14.00 (6.83-	
	. ,		. ,			· · ·		28.55)***	
Previous Premature Birth									
No	8.2 (5.9-11.1)	Ref.	6.0 (4.0-9.0)	Ref.	6.7 (6.1-7.4)	Ref.	5.2 (4.5-6.1)	Ref.	
Yes	30.4 (20.1-43.1)	5.10 (2.62-9.91)***	28.1 (15.1-46.1)	3.94 (1.47-10.60)**	25.2 (18.0-34.0)	5.15 (3.03-8.74)***	25.0 (15.6-37.6)	4.10 (1.73-9.70)**	
Note: *p<0.05 **p<0.01 ***p<0.001	CI: Confidence interval: OR: Or	dds ratio	· · · - /			/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



## Table 2: Survey Weighted Prevalence of Premature Birth and Low Birth Weight and Multivariable Logistic Regression Models of Factors



### Discussions

• Across two surveys most of the mothers were White, aged 21-29 years, had some college and associate degree, and had Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) supplement during pregnancy.

• Over the nine years period South Carolina has experienced decrease in inequity in premature birth (CI: -0.038 in 2004 and -0.015 in 2013).

• However, inequity in low birth weight has increased in the same time period (CI: -0.063 in 2004 and -0.108 in 2013).

• Along the racial line, African Americans showed no significant progress in decreasing premature birth and low birth weight over nine years period.

• African American race is one of the most influential factors in determining baby's fate of being premature and of low birth weight.

• Income, maternal education, and BMI showed effect in determining low birth weight, not prematurity.

 Hypertensive mothers had higher odds of delivering premature and low birth weight baby.

### Conclusions

• Study results demonstrate that South Carolina faces increasing health inequity in terms of LBW and still have marked inequity in premature births.

• It is imperative to reach out to the African American women who are deprived of basic amenities during pregnancy to ensure healthy newborns.

### References

1. Child Trend (2015). Low and Very Low Birthweight Infants, Child Trend Data bank. Available:

http://www.childtrends.org/wp-

content/uploads/2014/07/57 Low Birth Weight.pdf 2. Matthews, T.J., Menacker, F., and MacDorman, M.F. "Infant Mortality Statistics from the 2001 Period: Linked

Birth/Infant Death Data Set," National Vital Statistics *Reports* 52, no. 2.

3. Institute for Child Success. (2014). South Carolina Early Childhood Annual Data Report 2014.

Contact: M. Rifat Haider E-mail: mhaider@email.sc.edu